



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,728	09/24/2001	Dominic Hugo Symes	550-258	4210
23117	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			HUISMAN, DAVID J	
901 NORTH (ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F . VA 22203	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2183	-

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/960,728	SYMES, DOMINIC HUGO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Huisman	2183			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (in your period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>13 May 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	∧ □				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>24 May 2005</u> .		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

M

Application/Control Number: 09/960,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 have been examined.

Papers Submitted

2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Appeal Brief as received on 5/13/2005 and IDS as received on 5/24/2005.

Response to Arguments

3. In view of the appeal brief filed on May 13, 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. However, a new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,728

Art Unit: 2183

Page 3

Claim Objections

- 5. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said data word Rn" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said data word Rm" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, replace "said a computer program" with --said computer program--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said data word Rn". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said data word Rm". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 5-88887 (as disclosed by applicant and herein referred to as JP) in view of Chan et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,276,881 (herein referred to as Chan).

- 12. Referring to claim 1, JP has taught apparatus for processing data, said apparatus comprising:
- (i) a shifting circuit. See Fig.4 and page 8, lines 6-7, and note that data in shift register SR1 is shifted (inherently by a shifting circuit in response to an instruction).
- (ii) a bit portion selecting and combining circuit. Again, from Fig.4 it can be seen that a 6-bit portion from SR1 is selected and combined with a 10-bit portion selected from SR2.
- (iii) an instruction decoder responsive to an instruction to control said shifting circuit and said bit portion selecting and combining circuit for performing an operation upon a data word Rn and a data word Rm (it is inherent that an instruction decoder exists in order to decode instructions prior to execution. The decoder, in response to the RML instruction (see page 7, lines 22-28, and page 8, line 27), will control the system such that the disclosed operation of Fig.4 is performed), wherein said operation yields a value given by:
- (a) selecting a first portion of bit length A of said data word Rn extending from one end of said data word Rn. See Fig.4 and note that a 10-bit portion from SR2 (Rn) is selected. Note that the 10-bit potion extend from the left end of SR2 (the 10 most significant bits). Also, it should be realized that an alternate interpretation would include selecting a 6-bit portion from the right end SR2.
- (b1) selecting a second portion of bit length B of said data word Rm subject to a right shift specified as a shift operand within said instruction. See Fig. 4 and note that SR1 (Rm) is shifted right 10 bits and a 6-bit portion of SR1 is selected. It should be noted that the shift amount is specified by the instruction. See page 7, lines 9-17, and lines 22-26, and note that in the example given, the shift amount is 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,728

Art Unit: 2183

Page 5

- (b2) JP has not explicitly taught the type of right shift that occurs with respect to Rm. (i.e., it has not been explicitly taught that the right shift is an arithmetic right shift). However, Chan has taught that a shift can either be of the arithmetic type (where, as is known in the art, a sign bit is shifted in) or of the logical type (where, as is known in the art, a zero is shifted in). See column 29, lines 20-23. Where signed numbers are used, an arithmetic shift may be performed (so that negative numbers may have the appropriate sign bit shifted in). It should be noted from Fig. 4 of JP, that it does not matter which type of shift is performed because the selected data from SR1 does not include any shifted-in data. For instance, note that in step (1), SR1 is shifted right 10 bits, and the result is shown in step (3) where the shifted-in data is shown as being blank because it is irrelevant. The only relevant portion of SR1 in step (3) is the lower 6-bit portion. Nevertheless, an arithmetic shift is useful for systems which implement a signed binary numbering system, which in turn allows for the representation of negative numbers. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify JP to have the right shift be an arithmetic right shift because the type of right shift is irrelevant in JP and it is also useful in shifting negative numbers.
- (c) combining said first portion and said second portion to form respective different bit position portions of an output data word Rd. See Fig. 4 and page 8, lines 7-12, and note that the 6-bit portion from Rm and the 10-bit portion from Rn are concatenated and stored in temporary register TR0 (Rd).
- 13. Referring to claim 2, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said first portion extends from a most significant bit end of said data

word Rn. See Fig. 4, step (2), and note that the 10-bit portion extends from the most significant bit end of SR2 (Rn).

- Referring to claim 3, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said first portion extends from a least significant bit end of said data word Rn. See Fig.4, step (2), and note that the taking the alternate interpretation of claim 1, when the first portion is the 6-bit portion of SR2, the portion extends from the least significant end, as can be seen. This 6-bit portion is still combined with the shifted data from SR1. See page 8, lines 7-9, and note that the SR registers are linked (i.e., all data within them are "combined") and then shifted.
- 15. Referring to claim 4, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said shift operand can specify a number of bit-positions representing an amount of arithmetic right shift to apply to said data word Rm. See page 7, lines 9-11 and note that the instruction specifies a shift amount of "k" bits (in the example given, k=10).
- Referring to claim 5, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said first portion and said second portion abut within said output data word Rd. See Fig.4, step (4) and note that the 6-bit portion from Rm and the 10-bit portion from Rn are concatenated (they abut) in the output.
- 17. Referring to claim 6, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 5. JP has further taught that said output data word has a bit length of C and C = A+B. See Fig. 4, step (4) and note that the output to be stored in TR0 comprises 16 bits, where 16 = 10+6.
- 18. Referring to claim 7, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 6. Although JP has not given a specific example showing that A=B, a person of ordinary skill in the

art would've recognized that if the instruction were to specify a shift amount of 8 bits, then the A-bit portion and the B-bit portion would be 8 bits.

- 19. Referring to claim 8, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP in view of Chan has not taught that A = 16. However, as shown in In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), changes in size/range are not given patentable weight or would have been obvious improvements. Since JP implements 16-bit registers, A cannot equal 16. However, if the JP included larger registers, which were more common in the art at the time of the invention, then more data could be stored in a register, and consequently, more values may be represented, thereby giving the system more flexibility. For instance, if a register were just one bit, then only two values could be represented (0 and 1). However, if a register were two bits, then four values could be represented (0, 1, 2, and 3). And clearly, the more data in a register, the more it could be shifted. As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to increase the register size in JP and have A=16. It should be noted that JP's invention would still apply to larger registers.
- 20. Referring to claim 9, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP in view of Chan has not taught that B = 16. However, as shown in In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), changes in size/range are not given patentable weight or would have been obvious improvements. Since JP implements 16-bit registers, B cannot equal 16. However, if the JP included larger registers, which were more common in the art at the time of the invention, then more data could be stored in a register, and consequently, more values may be represented, thereby giving the system more flexibility. For instance, if a register were just one bit, then only two values could be represented (0 and 1). However, if a register were two bits, then four values

could be represented (0, 1, 2, and 3). And clearly, the more data in a register, the more it could be shifted. As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to increase the register size in JP and have B=16. It should be noted that JP's invention would still apply to larger registers.

- Referring to claim 10, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said instruction is a single-instruction-multiple-data instruction. See Fig. 4 and note that in response to a single instruction, multiple data items are operated upon (data words in SR1 and SR2).
- 22. Referring to claim 11, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said instruction combines a data value pack operation with a shift operation. See Fig.4, and note that data in SR1 is shifted and then data from SR1 and SR2 are packed together in a single output TR0 (step (4).
- Referring to claim 12, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 1. JP has further taught that said shifting circuit is upstream of said selecting and combining circuit in a data path of said apparatus. Looking at Fig.4, it can be seen that the shifting (step (1)) occurs before the selecting and combination (steps (2)-(4)). Therefore, the shifting circuit is upstream of the selecting and combining circuit.
- Referring to claim 13, JP in view of Chan has taught an apparatus as described in claim 12. JP has further taught, in what appears to be two separate ways, that said selecting and combining circuit is disposed in parallel to an arithmetic circuit within said data path. First, from page 8, lines 14-25, it is disclosed that the arithmetic unit calculates a sum at some point between the data being shifted, selected, combined, and transferred to R0. Consequently, the arithmetic

unit operates in parallel with the aforementioned components. Furthermore, looking at Fig.2, the arithmetic unit 9 is disposed/arranged in parallel with (next to) the SR1 and SR2 units, which may be considered part of the selecting and combining circuit since data from those units is selected and combined.

- 25. Referring to claim 14, JP has taught a method of data processing, said method comprising the steps of decoding and executing an instruction that yields a value given by:
- a) selecting a first portion of bit length A of said data word Rn extending from one end of said data word Rn. See Fig. 4 and note that a 10-bit portion from SR2 (Rn) is selected. Note that the 10-bit potion extend from the left end of SR2 (the 10 most significant bits).
- b1) selecting a second portion of bit length B of said data word Rm subject to an arithmetic right shift specified as a shift operand within said instruction. See Fig. 4 and note that SR1 (Rm) is shifted right 10 bits and a 6-bit portion of SR1 is selected. It should be noted that the shift amount is specified by the instruction. See page 7, lines 9-17, and lines 22-26, and note that in the example given, the shift amount is 10.
- b2) JP has not explicitly taught the type of right shift that occurs with respect to Rm. (i.e., it has not been explicitly taught that the right shift is an arithmetic right shift). However, Chan has taught that a shift can either be of the arithmetic type (where, as is known in the art, a sign bit is shifted in) or of the logical type (where, as is known in the art, a zero is shifted in). See column 29, lines 20-23. Where signed numbers are used, an arithmetic shift may be performed (so that negative numbers may have the appropriate sign bit shifted in). It should be noted from Fig.4 of JP, that it does not matter which type of shift is performed because the selected data from SR1 does not include any shifted-in data. For instance, note that in step (1), SR1 is shifted right 10

bits, and the result is shown in step (3) where the shifted-in data is shown as being blank because it is irrelevant. The only relevant portion of SR1 in step (3) is the lower 6 bits. Nevertheless, an arithmetic shift is useful for systems which implement a signed binary numbering system, which in turn allows for the representation of negative numbers. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify JP to have the right shift be an arithmetic right shift because the type of right shift is irrelevant in JP and it is also useful in shifting negative numbers.

- c) combining said first portion and said second portion to form respective different bit position portions of an output data word Rd. See Fig.4 and page 8, lines 7-12, and note that the 6-bit portion from Rm and the 10-bit portion from Rn are concatenated and stored in temporary register TR0 (Rd).
- 26. Referring to claim 15, JP has taught a computer program provided on a computerreadable medium, said computer program for controlling a computer to perform the steps of decoding and executing an instruction that yields a value given by:
- a) selecting a first portion of bit length A of said data word Rn extending from one end of said data word Rn. See Fig.4 and note that a 10-bit portion from SR2 (Rn) is selected. Note that the 10-bit potion extend from the left end of SR2 (the 10 most significant bits).
- b) selecting a second portion of bit length B of said data word Rm subject to an arithmetic right shift specified as a shift operand within said instruction. See Fig.4 and note that SR1 (Rm) is shifted right 10 bits and a 6-bit portion of SR1 is selected. It should be noted that the shift amount is specified by the instruction. See page 7, lines 9-17, and lines 22-26, and note that in the example given, the shift amount is 10.

- b2) JP has not explicitly taught the type of right shift that occurs with respect to Rm. (i.e., it has not been explicitly taught that the right shift is an arithmetic right shift). However, Chan has taught that a shift can either be of the arithmetic type (where, as is known in the art, a sign bit is shifted in) or of the logical type (where, as is known in the art, a zero is shifted in). See column 29, lines 20-23. Where signed numbers are used, an arithmetic shift may be performed (so that negative numbers may have the appropriate sign bit shifted in). It should be noted from Fig.4 of JP, that it does not matter which type of shift is performed because the selected data from SR1 does not include any shifted-in data. For instance, note that in step (1), SR1 is shifted right 10 bits, and the result is shown in step (3) where the shifted-in data is shown as being blank because it is irrelevant. The only relevant portion of SR1 in step (3) is the lower 6 bits. Nevertheless, an arithmetic shift is useful for systems which implement a signed binary numbering system, which in turn allows for the representation of negative numbers. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify JP to have the right shift be an arithmetic right shift because the type of right shift is irrelevant in JP and it is also useful in shifting negative numbers.
- c) combining said first portion and said second portion to form respective different bit position portions of an output data word Rd. See Fig. 4 and page 8, lines 7-12, and note that the 6-bit portion from Rm and the 10-bit portion from Rn are concatenated and stored in temporary register TR0 (Rd).

It should be noted that the instruction described by Fig. 4 would inherently be found in a computer program on a computer-readable medium.

After-Final Amendment Entry

27. For purposes of this examination, the examiner was not sure as to whether applicant wanted to have the amended claims from February 22, 2005, entered. Consequently, the examiner has examined the claims from the amendment filed on November 24, 2004. Since prosecution has been reopened, applicant may now amend the claims in a fashion similar to that of the after-final amendment. However, the examiner asserts that such an amendment would not overcome the current prior art rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Huisman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJH David J. Huisman July 13, 2005

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100